From: Waye, Don

To: Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov

CC: Hall, Lynda; Holsman, Marianne; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre,

Mark

Sent: 1/30/2015 3:28:26 PM

Subject: RE: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

22 states and territories. The O corrected that bit. The fact checking is easy to see on NOAA's website:

http://coast.noaa.gov/czm/pollutioncontrol/

See the section on "Decision Memos for State Programs".

From: Psyk, Christine

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 3:26 PM **To:** allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Waye, Don

Cc: Hall, Lynda; Holsman, Marianne; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark

Subject: RE: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

Remind me, how many states have approved programs?

From: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal [mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov]

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 12:18 PM

To: Waye, Don

Cc: Hall, Lynda; Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin, Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre,

Mark

Subject: Re: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

Check out the O's updated article..getting better but still leads with funding cuts. Joelle talked to her this afternoon and it looks like she's talked to Nina too.

On Fri, Jan 30, 2015 at 3:11 PM, Waye, Don < Waye. Don@epa.gov > wrote:

It seems that NWEA's own press release was the source for the inexplicable \$1.3M figure and some of the other quotes in the O. See:

http://northwestenvironmentaladvocates.org/2015/01/federal-agencies-disapprove-oregon-coastal-nonpoint-pollution-program/

Still not sure where the O got the wildly incorrect figure of only 10 states with approved coastal nonpoint programs...

From: Waye, Don

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 2:14 PM

To: Hall, Lynda; Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin,

Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark

Subject: RE: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

They've actually upped it to an inexplicable \$1.3M figure...

From: Hall, Lynda

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 2:03 PM

To: Waye, Don; Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin,

Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark

Subject: Re: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

 Importance: High

UGH.

After all that effort the Oregonian is still leading with 'the state will lose \$1.2M in penalties.'

From: Waye, Don

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:58 PM

To: Holsman, Marianne; Psyk, Christine; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Hall, Lynda; joelle.gore@noaa.gov; Carlin,

Jayne; Henning, Alan; MacIntyre, Mark

Subject: Oregon CZARA Decision in the News

All,

Here's the story from the AP reporter, but it's so short, I don't know if there's a longer version that might get published somewhere else.

http://www.sfchronicle.com/news/science/article/Regulators-Oregon-logging-rules-don-t-protect-6051532.php http://www.khq.com/story/27985991/regulators-oregon-logging-rules-dont-protect-clean-water

And here's one from the Oregonian, with a huge factual error in the last paragraph, and arguably smaller errors throughout:

http://www.oregonlive.com/environment/index.ssf/2015/01/feds_reject_oregons_coastal_po.html "To date - 19 years past the deadline - 10 states' plans have received approval." Actually, 22 states & territories have received full approval.

Don Waye

U.S. EPA - Nonpoint Source Control Branch

Phone: 202-566-1170

--

~~ <>< ~~ ><> ~~ <>< ~~

Allison Castellan Coastal Management Specialist

Office for Coastal Management N/OCM6

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, SSMC4

Silver Spring, MD 20910 Phone: 301-563-1125 Fax: 301-713-4004

allison.castellan@noaa.gov

http://coast.noaa.gov

ED463-00003833 EPA-6822_029612